ISSN (Online): Applied

International Journal of Humanities, Social Sciences and Communications

Vol. 1 | Issue 1 | October - December 2025

Available online at: https://ijhssc.com

Artificial Intelligence and Mass Communication: Emerging Issues and Future Concerns

Dr. Ravindra Singh
Assistant Professor
Indian Institute of Mass Communication, Jammu
Corresponding Author: drrsbhadwal@gmail.com

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence has emerged as a powerful force reshaping the theory and practice of mass communication. This paper critically examines the expanding role of AI in journalism and media systems, focusing on its influence on content creation, audience engagement, newsroom automation, and information governance. It traces the evolution of AI technologies in mass communication—from early rule-based systems to contemporary machine learning and generative models and analyses how these developments redefine communication processes, professional norms, and media ethics. While AI-driven tools enhance efficiency, personalization, and creative possibilities, they also introduce significant risks related to misinformation, deepfakes, bias, privacy erosion, and declining public trust. The study further highlights ethical, legal, and regulatory challenges, emphasizing the complexity of governing technologies that operate across platforms, borders, and cultures. Issues of data governance, consent, algorithmic transparency, and accountability are examined as central concerns for democratic communication systems. By integrating global and cross-border perspectives, the paper underscores the need for interdisciplinary research, media literacy, and adaptive policy frameworks. It concludes that the future of mass communication under AI will depend on balancing technological innovation with ethical responsibility, journalistic integrity, and public interest, ensuring that AI supports rather than undermines informed public discourse.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence; Mass communication; Journalism; Media ethics; Misinformation; Audience engagement; Media regulation

1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force in journalism and mass communication research, revolutionizing how information is produced, disseminated, and consumed. Understanding its various subfields is crucial for comprehending its impact and potential. Key subfields include machine learning, natural language processing, speech recognition, expert systems, planning, scheduling, optimization, robotics, and computer vision. These subfields enable AI-generated content, sentiment analysis of news articles, and automated news reporting through chatbots. Consequently, there is growing interest in the implementation of AI technologies, such as Large Language Models (LLMs), deepfake algorithms, and news recommendation systems (Gondwe, 2023).

Communication studies need to be reoriented to address the opportunities and challenges posed by intelligent machines, autonomous systems, and smart devices. Historically, new technologies have been incorporated as mediums of human interaction. However, this view neglects the fact that computers, unlike previous technological advancements, also participate actively in communication. Evidence from artificial intelligence indicates that they are increasingly involved as participants in exchanges. A well-known cartoon depicting two dogs at a computer highlights issues of identity and

anonymity in computer-mediated communication, illustrating that users often assume their communication partners are human, despite the possibility of interacting with non-human entities (J. Gunkel, 2012).

2. The Evolution of Artificial Intelligence in Mass Communication

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a transformative force in journalism and mass communication, fundamentally changing how information is produced, disseminated, and consumed (Gondwe, 2023). The expansion of AI applications has led to a proliferation of research in related subfields, including journalism, mass communication, and media business—each employing AI for diverse purposes. The exponential growth of machine learning research represents one of the most prominent and debated areas, encompassing topics like AI-generated content, misinformation, and fake news. The universe of AI has been categorized into seven fundamental subfields: machine learning, natural language processing (NLP), speech recognition, expert systems, planning, scheduling and optimization, robotics, and computer vision. For journalism researchers, various AI technologies and analytics from these subfields open up new avenues for inquiry. The historical milestones of AI in mass media can be traced back to the mid-1970s, coinciding with developments in computer communication. In the late 1980s, feasibility studies attempted to feed mass storage media with newsworthy facts, ultimately leading to papers exploring fully automated news articles in the 1990s. Over the decades, mass media applications have evolved across various paradigms, generally shifting from rule-based to statistic, algorithmic, individual, and deep-learning-based approaches (J. Gunkel, 2012).

Mass media represents one of the most salient fields engaged in the narrative concatenation process. In both routine and non-routine journalism, operations such as shaping, merging, and processing information are inherently narrative-centric and characterize the domain of narration. Narrative specification technology provides media professionals with a more sophisticated tool for organizing voices and enhancing creativity. The convergence of natural language processing (NLP) and mass media also enables increased flexibility in the design of media products and services. Design for power and dissemination covers a spectrum from mass customization, allowing partial tailoring of media-generation systems, to large-scale audience participatory design, where the audience participates in the design of media products. The provision of an ever widening range of design options facilitates the exploration of new services and the creation of new experiences. Design for timeliness and relation further incorporates considerations on the timely nature of narration in mass media and the interrelation among various messages, respectively.

3. AI-Driven Content Creation: Opportunities and Risks

AI content generation capabilities promote efficiency, reduce routine tasks, and stimulate creative innovation. Text generation aids creativity by suggesting story ideas, producing structured narratives, and enhancing stylistic delivery. Visual generation extends possibilities in layout, illustrations, and video. Nevertheless, AI-generated content presents challenges: uncertainty regarding originality, author attribution, unintentional plagiarism, reinforcement of biases, and quality control. AI tools assist in generating content narratives and style, necessitating scrutiny of advantages and risks. Content improvement models can transform text into news articles or blogs, adapting tone and structure for specific formats. Generative agents create content iteratively, offering thematic ideas, outlines, enhancements, and publications in diverse styles. Other tools generate visuals, layouts, illustrations, videos, and captions. These procedures accelerate production, enrich formats, and foster creativity (Gupta et al., 2024).

Assessing the balance between benefits and harms highlights opportunities for mass communication and emergent hazards during broader AI adoption. AI-generated narratives, images, and graphics prompt concerns about originality and authorship credentials. Generation can unintentionally reproduce extensive source segments, raising plagiarism risks. Reinforcement of biases pre-existing in training records threatens diversity. Quality levels render evaluation complex: generative agents may produce grammatically sound but factually inaccurate input, affecting credibility, while low-quality or duplicated content jeopardizes originality.

4. Audience Engagement and Personalization through AI

Audiences have become an increasingly important focus for mass communication through Artificial Intelligence (Varghese et al., 2022). Algorithms for audience segmentation, content recommendation and real-time interaction have proliferated on digital platforms. AI-driven audience engagement shapes the reach, relevance and attention of messages, thus directly impacting the effectiveness of communication.

At the same time, AI-audiences pose new risks for Human communication. Concerns about agency, filter bubbles, and the ethics of audience engagement and audience design have arisen. Addressing these risks and doing audience engagement the right way requires new ways of measuring user experience and dealer-ability, which allow meaningful evaluations of agency-oriented content. They also support audience engagement design more broadly by moving beyond a one-sided focus on reach, responsiveness and relevance toward further audience-curated quality dimensions such as diversity and occasion.

5. Ethical, Legal, and Governance Considerations

Emerging technologies often bring new ethical challenges that exceed the capabilities of existing ethical frameworks. National and international discussions on the artificial intelligence–mass communication (MC) interface frequently emphasize the ethical turn, underscoring the convergence of regulatory, ethical, legal, and governance considerations. Within such discussions, two widely recognized value frameworks emerge. One classifies ethical considerations as either issue-, principle-, or virtue-based, and identifies the link between each type and the relevant balance between risk and benefit; remembering that between different paradigms risk and benefit are assessed differently and the objectives sought are also modified. Another distinguishes between forward-looking risk–benefit analysis embodying consequentialist or utilitarian principles and backward-looking attribution of responsibility based on intention and purpose, citing the way general-purpose AI, not just generative models, complicates risk-and-benefit assessment (Kluge Corrêa et al., 2022).

Governance structures, compliance obligations, and risk mitigation practices such as audits, controls, and reviews trace organizations' responses to the ethical and regulatory landscape. Using a five-category classification system, these obligations can be assigned to specific governance structures such as boards and committees; processes such as assessments and monitoring; knowledge systems such as policies, guidelines, and inventories; reporting regimes including dashboards and communication; and oversight activities involving reviews and stakeholder engagement (Kalenzi, 2022). Those structures, obligations, and practices condition compliance with regulations and frameworks, both mandatory and voluntary, set by national authorities and supranational bodies and by industry, trade, and professional organizations. Such settlements operate on national and sector-specific bases while jurisdictions differ in their complementary treatment of compliance and oversight.

6. AI in Newsrooms: Automation, Verification, and Trust

Artificial intelligence has emerged as a fundamental force in the evolution of content creation and distribution across various media, including text, audio, images, video, and mixed modes. In mass communication, AI enables the generation, analysis, enrichment, and transmittal of information to individual users by means of automation and prediction. This ongoing change is accompanied by the exploration of hitherto impractical ideas; for example, large conversational models can produce natural text using crowdsourced direction or manipulate images from textual descriptions. None of this seems new, yet it radically alters the dynamic of mass media, profoundly reshaping the industrial environment, professional practices, and social impact of news invasion. AI augments and challenges mass media through a data-driven acceleration and expansion of established trends in content generation, formative enhancement, interaction, and distribution. Early steps encompass simple modification and guiding assistance that steer and improve human inputs (Gupta et al., 2024).

7. Misinformation, Deepfakes, and Detection Mechanisms

Across media history, regimes of truth have relied on verification processes for the careful construction of information. Digital communication systems have constrained these processes by privileging speed of transmission over reliability. Nonlinear structures of exchange and the proliferation of encrypted channels have further evaded verification. Since the early 1990s, mass communication studies have recognised disinformation as a systemic challenge. Derivative of this perspective, model-based diffusion analysis has elaborated the dynamics of misinformation and its progeny: disinformation, propaganda, and fake news (Kietzmann et al., 2019). The effects of AI on such systems can fundamentally change their operation, yet in many contexts commercial interests rhythmically counter the ensuing signals of crisis.

Generative AI increasingly permits the manipulation of audio, image-based photorealism and moving-images in ways previously deemed impossible. The resulting misinformation encompasses far-reaching sophistication, shifts in modality and, through deep-fakes, the substitution of one voice with another, or one character, celebrity, or politician with another (Mushtaq Qureshi et al., 2024). Technical strategies to detect misinformation rooted in AI-generated manipulation largely concentrate on technical performance metrics and do not systematically attend to broader contextual configurations (Cantero-Arjona & Sánchez-Macián, 2024). The gap reflects a disciplinary division: on one side an emergent and broad-based community recognises deep-fakes as a form of manipulation distinct from misinformation and disinformation threats, and on the other a broader mass communication perspective frames such terms collectively as misinformation. Given the unequal incidence of detection, multi-layered strategies combining detection technologies with the broader fields of media literacy and infrastructure-provision transparency from corporations, platforms, and institutions constitute a central priority.

8. Privacy, Data Governance, and Consent

Artificial intelligence (AI) systems are used to support many aspects of data-driven mass communication, including the collection and storage, analysis and insights, and distribution of information through automated content creation and segmentation. AI has proven useful for understanding audience interest, sentiment, and diversity; it is also leveraged in strategic decision-making around audience engagement and content reach. Supporting the AI-enabled collection, storage, analysis, and dissemination of information through mass communication raises significant privacy concerns (Sunrise Winter & Davidson, 2019). Data types underpinning consumer and audience analysis include personally identifiable information (PII) such as IP addresses, smartphone identifiers, cookies and web browser identifiers, social media handle, emails, names, and telephone numbers, and different forms of behavioural data such as engagement with content, sessions within a specific time frame, duration of a session, and transaction history. Collected data can be maintained, analysed, and explored with a wide range of data governance tools—technologies that fundamentally alter the relationship between audiences, their data, and content providers—across differing mass communication styles (Humerick, 2018). Consent frameworks governing the collection, analysis, and storage of various PII differ significantly across jurisdictions, often requiring marketing and mass media organisations to implement complex compliance policies that evolve continuously in parallel with changes to data governance technologies and regulatory standards.

Various governance and compliance frameworks that aim to protect privacy and user control over data help safeguard consumers and audiences during mass communication, although none address every aspect of governance equally. Governance frameworks specifically directed toward the collection and analysis of data help identify ongoing risks to privacy under documentation and assistance regimes. Anti-surveillance, data minimisation, and audit logs on the movement of sensitive data can serve to enhance privacy, hobbyism, and the protection of legal and ethical principles underpinning the customer service relationship, improving compliance from regulatory agencies while helping maintain the integrity of the economic relationship surrounding mass communication. Transparency of labelling systems and compliance efforts underpin entities' reputational integrity and the continuation of mass communication and marketing practice.

9. Regulatory Frameworks and Policy Implications

Current regulatory frameworks governing artificial intelligence (AI) at national and supranational levels exhibit varying degrees of applicability to mass communication and media. Compliance requirements associated with these frameworks vary substantially among jurisdictions, affecting the burden of adaptation on organisations operating in multiple regions. Nevertheless, nationwide rules often leave unresolved some central concerns, most notably the potential impact of AI on the quality of content. A coherent policy agenda is warranted to ensure that—while promoting technological advancement—regulations mitigate foreseeable dangers and safeguard public interests.

National policymakers across the globe campaign for, discuss, analyse, and explore the prospect of comprehensive laws regulating various facets of AI (Kalenzi, 2022). Similarly, recognised organisations—including intergovernmental groups, standards bodies, and trade associations—are devising guidelines for the ethical employment of AI technologies (Black & D. Murray, 2019). Unlike the tangible and inherently limited resources subjected to traditional regulatory oversight, data appears practically boundless; nonetheless, it nurtures AI systems that considerably affect economies, social behaviour, and even the very cognitive capabilities of human beings. AI-driven inventions now play a significant part in the intricate reconsideration of previously established boundaries. The appraisal of AI regulations transcends a mere examination of laws regarding a collection of inventions and drills down into the core features and implications of AI as an energetic, nonlinear agent of transformation. Legislation deliberates methods of harnessing a technological advance whose emergent avenues of action cannot be entirely foreseen and whose ramifications are intrinsically complex and intricate, stretching well beyond any singular agreed-upon domain. Such appraisal inevitably presses towards unspecified yet highly influential conduits of social influence and societal balance; hence, addressing the interplay between regulations on a given resource and any adjacent, complementary, and associated resources emerges as a pivotal aspect. The challenge then becomes one of fabricating appropriate conventions for embracing and coexisting alongside this latest entrant into the set of dynamic resources present at play (Zhang, 2024).

10. International Perspectives and Cross-Border Challenges

Globalization and digitization have generated cross-border challenges for the media, which now interacts from different geographic and cultural regions. The global digital environment of mass media poses a dual challenge, increasing the consumption of foreign news and, at the same time, creating cross-border distortion of the news, such as misinformation, disinformation, and malinformation. The Digital News Report 2022 of the International Institute for the Study of Media (IISM) shows that 54% of the global trend for consuming foreign news has increased since the past year and 47% of the global respondents are consuming outside of their national or local news. On the other hand, misinformation, disinformation, and malinformation developed considerable challenges—the Internews cautioned that media has become a weapon to fuel “disinformation war”; Internews, 2022). Emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence provide the capacity to automate misrepresentation of the content, which has become a global cross-border challenge to the information society. The core challenge is to continue to help the audience acquire foreign information while maintaining the journalistic responsibility of providing truthful news.

Moreover, the emerging artificial intelligence technology carries major ethical implications for journalism (J. Gunkel, 2012) ; (Wills et al., 2021). Artificial intelligence technology provides the capability for media organizations to send the readers’ choice of news to their followers using algorithms wherever they go, but it also counters the nature of independent journalism. The ethics issue is that the distributed system crosses the boarder of geopolitics, and consequently the audience can only receive the similar information based on their past choices instead of critical thinking of the different contents. Consequently, most of the unequal societies hold opinions and subsequent actions that do not hold for the larger part of the population, which easily generates social crisis.

11. Future Trajectories: Scenarios for Journalism, Public Communication, and Media Literacy

The scenarios for the future of journalism, public communication, and media literacy reflect the implications of an uncertain digital world that continues to evolve rapidly (Taylor et al., 2019). Such

uncertainties exert pressure on the must-have competencies of journalists and media communicators everywhere. The trajectory of artificial intelligence (AI) profoundly affects how information is produced, selected, processed, and consumed in all media (Gondwe, 2023). Thus, the analyses of communication and information systems—including their evolution, perils, and opportunities—encompass broader factors beyond AI, yet AI remains pivotal.

A scenario approach clarifies the potential impact of AI on journalism. Based on current understanding of AI evolution and prospects—together with qualitative insights from foresight reports, expert interviews, and industry surveys of weak signals—the scenarios below highlight the multifaceted role of AI and the importance of resilience and adaptability. A parallel need emerges for foresight on public discourse and communication under AI influences. Emerging evidence from foresight reports and Asia-Pacific surveys signals shifts in the functions and wider communication ecosystems of media. The distinctive role of media in structuring public discourse and safeguarding societal interests warrants attention (Gupta et al., 2024). Monitoring and scenario development are thus needed to inform stakeholder choices in such global, cross-border conversations.

12. Conclusion

AI has become a transformative force in mass communication, shaping the way information is produced, disseminated, and consumed (Gondwe, 2023). The multifaceted impact of this technology on mass-communication practices and processes are examined to provide direction for future inquiry. Nine core issues are explored: the evolution of artificial intelligence in mass communication; AI-driven content creation—opportunities and risks; audience engagement and personalization through AI; ethical, legal, and governance considerations; automation, verification, and trust in newsrooms; misinformation, deepfakes, and detection mechanisms; privacy, data governance, and consent; economic and labour implications for media professionals; and regulatory frameworks and policy implications. Each serves as a potential area for further research that can inform both academic inquiry and practice.

References:

1. Gray, E. (2019). A people so different from themselves: British attitudes towards India and the power dynamics of the East India Company. Steeplechase, II(2). https://digitalcommons.murraystate.edu/steeplechase/vol2/iss2/4
2. Gondwe, G. (2023). Mapping AI arguments in journalism studies. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.12357
3. Gunkel, D. J. (2012). Communication and artificial intelligence: Opportunities and challenges for the 21st century. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/288217772_Communication_and_artificial_intelligence_Opportunities_and_challenges_for_the_21st_century
4. Gupta, N., Ibañez, J., & Tenove, C. (2024). The peril and promise of AI for journalism. SocArXiv. https://osf.io/preprints/socarxiv/pk8nw
5. Varghese, M., Raj, S., & Venkatesh, V. (2022). Influence of AI in human lives. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.12305
6. Kluge Corrêa, N., Galvão, C., William Santos, J., Del Pino, C., Pontes Pinto, E., Barbosa, C., Massmann, D., Mambrini, R., Galvão, L., Terem, E., & de Oliveira, N. (2022). Worldwide AI ethics: A review of 200 guidelines and recommendations for AI governance. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.11922
7. Kalenzi, C. (2022). Artificial intelligence and blockchain: How should emerging technologies be governed? Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics, 7, Article 801549. https://doi.org/10.3389/frma.2022.801549
8. Kietzmann, J., Lee, L. W., McCarthy, I. P., & Kietzmann, T. C. (2020). Deepfakes: Trick or treat? Business Horizons, 63(2), 135–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2019.11.006
9. Qureshi, S. M., Saeed, A., Almotiri, S. H., Ahmad, F., & Al Ghamdi, M. A. (2024). Deepfake forensics: A survey of digital forensic methods for multimodal deepfake identification on social media. PeerJ Computer Science, 10, Article e2037. https://peerj.com/articles/cs-2037/

10. Cantero-Arjona, P., & Sánchez-Macián, A. (2024). Deepfake detection and the impact of limited computing capabilities. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.14825
11. Winter, J. S., & Davidson, E. (2019). Governance of artificial intelligence and personal health information. Digital Policy, Regulation and Governance, 21(3), 280–290. https://doi.org/10.1108/DPRG-08-2018-0048
12. Humerick, M. (2018). Taking AI personally: How the E.U. must learn to balance the interests of personal data privacy & artificial intelligence. Santa Clara High Technology Law Journal, 34(4), 393–418. https://digitalcommons.law.scu.edu/chtlj/vol34/iss4/3/
13. Black, J., & Murray, A. (2019). Regulating AI and machine learning: Setting the regulatory agenda. European Journal of Law and Technology, 10(3). https://ejlt.org/index.php/ejlt/article/view/722
14. Zhang, J. (2024). Regulating chatbot output via inter-informational competition. Northwestern Journal of Technology and Intellectual Property, 22(1), 109. https://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/njtip/vol22/iss1/3/
15. Wills, B., Isaicu, C., von Stackelberg, H., Ibrahim, L., Hutson, M., Fleming, M., Narayanamoorthy, N., Curtis, S., Paudel, S., Trejo, S., Zevallos, T., Martín del Campo, V., & Lee, W. (2021). The MAIEI learning community report. Montreal AI Ethics Institute. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356746542_The_MAIEI_Learning_Community_Report
16. Taylor, A., Heinonen, S., Ruotsalainen, J., & Parkkinen, M. (2019). Highlighting media & journalism futures 2030: Survey on weak signals and emerging issues (VTT Technology 350). VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland. https://cris.vtt.fi/en/publications/highlighting-media-amp-journalism-futures-2030-survey-on-weak-sig

Cite this Article

Dr. Ravindra Singh, "Artificial Intelligence and Mass Communication: Emerging Issues and Future Concerns", *International Journal of Humanities, Social Sciences and Communication, ISSN (Online): Applied, Volume 1, Issue 1, pp. 11-17, October - December 2025.*
Journal URL: *https://ijhssc.com/*